## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

JEFFREY REED,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
vs.	)	Case No. 16-cv-00304-NJR
	)	
WEXFORD HEALTH SOURCES,	)	
DR. AFUWAPE,	)	
MARY JOHNSON, and	)	
MS. KLEIN,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	

## MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

## **ROSENSTENGEL**, District Judge:

Plaintiff Jeffrey Reed, an inmate currently incarcerated at Vandalia Correctional Center ("Vandalia"), brings this *pro se* civil rights action for deprivations of his constitutional rights pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. He seeks monetary and injunctive relief. (Doc. 1 at 6.)

This matter is now before the Court for a preliminary review of Reed's complaint pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915A. Under § 1915A, the Court shall review a "complaint in a civil action in which a prisoner seeks redress from a governmental entity or officer or employee of a government entity." During this preliminary review under § 1915A, the Court "shall identify cognizable claims or dismiss the complaint, or any portion of the complaint," if the complaint "is frivolous, malicious, or fails to state a claim on which relief may be granted" or if it "seeks monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief."

An action or claim is frivolous if "it lacks an arguable basis either in law or in fact." *Neitzke* v. *Williams*, 490 U.S. 319, 325 (1989). An action fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted if it does not plead "enough facts to state a claim to relief that is plausible on its face." *Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly*, 550 U.S. 544, 570 (2007). Conversely, a complaint is plausible on its face

"when the plaintiff pleads factual content that allows the court to draw the reasonable inference that the defendant is liable for the misconduct alleged." *Ashcroft v. Iqbal*, 556 U.S. 662, 678 (2009). Although the Court is obligated to accept factual allegations as true, *see Smith v. Peters*, 631 F.3d 418, 419 (7th Cir. 2011), some factual allegations may be so sketchy or implausible that they fail to provide sufficient notice of a plaintiff's claim. *Brooks v. Ross*, 578 F.3d 574, 581 (7th Cir. 2009). Additionally, Courts "should not accept as adequate abstract recitations of the elements of a cause of action or conclusory legal statements." *Id.* At the same time, however, the factual allegations of a *pro se* complaint are to be liberally construed. *See Rodriguez v. Plymouth Ambulance Serv.*, 577 F.3d 816, 821 (7th Cir. 2009).

Plaintiff's complaint fails to state a claim on which relief may be granted. The complaint is one paragraph-long. It vaguely alleges that Plaintiff was injured by Wexford Health Sources Inc. employees due to the provision of inadequate medical care. It does not, however, state what happened to him which he considers a deprivation of his constitutional rights or who was personally involved. Therefore, the complaint is dismissed without prejudice, and Plaintiff must file an amended complaint that complies with Rule 8.

## **Disposition**

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's complaint (Doc. 1) is **DISMISSED without**prejudice for failure to abide by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 8.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that, in order to proceed with this action, Plaintiff is **DIRECTED** to submit his First Amended Complaint within 35 days of the entry of this order (on or before **May 6, 2016**). He should label the form First Amended Complaint, and he should use the case number for this action. Plaintiff should state, in chronological order, what happened to him that constituted a deprivation of his constitutional rights, and who was personally involved.

An amended complaint supersedes the original complaint, rendering the original complaint void. *See Flannery v. Recording Indus. Ass'n of Am.*, 354 F.3d 632, 638 n.1 (7th Cir. 2004). The

Case 3:16-cv-00304-NJR Document 6 Filed 04/01/16 Page 3 of 3 Page ID #20

Court will not accept piecemeal amendments to a complaint. Thus, the First Amended Complaint

must stand on its own, without reference to any other pleading. Should the First Amended Complaint

not conform to these requirements, it shall be stricken. Plaintiff must also re-file any exhibits he

wishes the Court to consider along with the First Amended Complaint. Failure to file an amended

complaint shall result in the dismissal of this action with prejudice. Such dismissal shall count as one

of Plaintiff's three allotted "strikes" within the meaning of 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g). No service shall be

ordered on any Defendant until after the Court completes its § 1915A review of the First Amended

Complaint.

In order to assist Plaintiff in preparing his amended complaint, the **CLERK** is **DIRECTED** 

to mail Plaintiff a blank civil rights complaint form.

Plaintiff is **ADVISED** that he is under a continuing obligation to keep the Clerk of Court and

each opposing party informed of any change in his address; the Court will not independently

investigate his whereabouts. This shall be done in writing and not later than 7 days after a transfer or

other change in address occurs. Failure to comply with this order will cause a delay in the

transmission of court documents and may result in dismissal of this action for want of prosecution.

See FED. R. CIV. P. 41(b).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: April 1, 2016

NANCY J. ROSENSTENGEL

Navey J. Vocastery

**United States District Court** 

Page 3 of 3